

Committee: Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Date: 5th September 2012

Agenda item: 5

Wards:

Subject: GP Funding Review

Lead member: Councillor Suzanne Evans, Chair of the Healthier Communities and Older People overview and scrutiny panel.

Forward Plan reference number: N/A

Contact officer: Stella Akintan, stella.akintan@merton.gov.uk; 020 8545 3390

Recommendations:

- A. That the Panel comment on the latest updates regarding NHS South West London review of GP Funding.
 - B.
-

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1. A senior officer will provide a verbal update on the implementation of the GP Funding review

2 DETAILS

- 2.1. At the last meeting of this Panel the Managing Director for NHS Sutton and Merton attended give an update on the implementation of the GP funding review and the impact on individual surgeries.
- 2.2. At this meeting further updates will be provided on the process and implications of these new measures.

3 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel can select topics for scrutiny review and for other scrutiny work as it sees fit, taking into account views and suggestions from officers, partner organisations and the public.

Cabinet is constitutionally required to receive, consider and respond to scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them at a meeting.

- 3.1. Cabinet is not, however, required to agree and implement recommendations from Overview and Scrutiny. Cabinet could agree to implement some, or none, of the recommendations made in the scrutiny review final report.

4 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

- 4.1. The Panel will be consulted at the meeting

5 TIMETABLE

5.1. The Panel will consider important items as they arise as part of their work programme for 2012/13

6 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None relating to this covering report

7 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. None relating to this covering report. . Scrutiny work involves consideration of the legal and statutory implications of the topic being scrutinised.

8 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

8.1. It is a fundamental aim of the scrutiny process to ensure that there is full and equal access to the democratic process through public involvement and engaging with local partners in scrutiny reviews. Furthermore, the outcomes of reviews are intended to benefit all sections of the local community.

9 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the crime and disorder implications of the topic being scrutinised.

10 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

10.1. None relating to this covering report

11 APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

-

12 BACKGROUND PAPERS

12.1.